

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note: There are three Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette Sr. II No. 30 dated 22-10-98 as follows:

1. Extraordinary dated 22-10-1998 from pages 413 to 418 regarding Notifications from Department of Revenue.
2. Extraordinary No. 2 dated 26-10-1998 from pages 419 to 420 regarding Notification from Department of Urban Development (Directorate of Municipal Administration).
3. Extraordinary No. 3 dated 27-10-1998 from pages 421 to 422 regarding Notification from Goa Legislature Secretariat.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Education

Order

No. 15-20-(80)-91-Adm. I/1590

Read: Notice of Voluntary retirement dated 20-8-1998 of Smt. Sarojini Nadkarni, Vice-Principal, Dr. T. B. Cunha Government Higher Secondary School, Panaji-Goa.

Government is pleased to accept the notice of Voluntary retirement dated 20-8-98 given under Rule 48(i) (a) of C.C.S. (Pension) Rules 1972 by Smt. Sarojini Nadkarni, Vice-Principal Dr. T. B. Cunha Government Higher Secondary School, Panaji-Goa with effect from 1-11-1998 (B.N.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Suman Pednekar, Director of Education & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 7th October, 1998.

Order

No. 15-21-(268)-98-Adm. I/1624

Read: The Memorandum No. 15-1-94-Adm. I/Vol. XII/605 dated 16-6-1998.

On the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/I/5/15/(15)/95 dated 23-3-1998 Government is pleased to appoint Smt. Maria Murena Miranda on temporary basis to the post of Teacher Grade I (Physics) Group "B" Non Gazetted in Dr. T. B. Cunha Government Higher Secondary School, Panaji vice Shri S. D. Jangam, Tr. Gr. I promoted, on pay of Rs. 6025/- in the pay scale of Rs. 5500-175-9000 (Revised) with effect from 25-7-98 (B.N.) as per the terms and conditions contained in the memorandum cited above.

Smt. Maria Murena Miranda will be on probation for a period of two years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Suman Pednekar, Director of Education and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 9th October, 1998.

Order

No. 15-21-(260)-98-Adm. I/1630

Read: Memorandum No. 15-1-94-Adm. I/Vol. XII/338 dated 15-5-1998.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/15/(21)/95 dated 18-3-1998 Government is pleased to appoint Smt. Laxmi Vithal Sukhathankar on temporary basis to the post of Teacher Grade I (Biology) Group 'B' Non Gazetted in Government Higher Secondary School, Sanquelim vice Smt. Vishakha D. Pusekar, Teacher Grade I (Biology) transferred, on an initial pay of Rs. 5500/- in the pay scale of Rs. 5500-175-9000 (revised) with effect from 10-7-1998 (B.N.) as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above.

Smt. Laxmi Vithal Sukhathankar will be on probation for a period of two years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Suman Pednekar, Director of Education and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 12th October, 1998.

Corrigendum

No. 18-3-92-EDN/1575

Read: Government Order No. 14-5-90-EDN/909 dated 16-7-1998.

In the Government order referred to above, Shri Anil R. Vernekar, Headmaster, Government High School, Kasarpal, Bicholim-Goa transferred on promotion as Vocational Education Officer, Goa Board of Secondary and Higher Secondary Education Porvorim vice

Smt. Sushma Bumb promoted, may be read as "he is transferred on deputation" in the said Goa Board on promotion.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Suman Pednekar, Director of Education & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 6th October, 1998.

Addendum

No. 27-83-98/DE-AE/444

Read: Order No. 27-83-98/DE-AE/210 dated 24-6-98.

The below mentioned name is added to the State Library Committee constituted vide above referred order at Sr. No. 3 in the list as member of said committee.

3. Justice R. A. Jahagirdar,
Nominee of the Chairman of
Raja Ram Mohan Roy Library
Foundation. — Member.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Suman Pednekar, Director of School Education & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 29th September, 1998.

Directorate of Higher Education

Order

No. 21/8/97-DHE/1244

Refer: Government order No. 21/8/97-DHE dated 8-6-1998.

Government is pleased to cancel the order bearing No. 21/8/97-DHE, dated 8-6-98 regarding appointment of Shri Vineet P. Jain as Lecturer in Computer Science (Software) and posting him in Government College of Arts, Science, Sanquelim-Goa, due to his not reporting for duty.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. K. Jain, Joint Secretary/Director of Higher Education.

Panaji, 30th September, 1998.

Directorate of Technical Education

Order

No. 11/4/55/98-DTE/AVB/5383

Read: Memorandum No. 11/24/86-EDN/Vol. II/4478 dated 20-7-1998.

On the recommendations of Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/5/33(1)/98 dated 9-7-1998

Government is pleased to appoint Smt. Alpana V. Betigeri on temporary basis to the post of Lecturer in Computer Application in the Goa College of Pharmacy, Panaji on an initial pay of Rs. 2200/- in the scale of Rs. -2200-75-2800-100-4000 w.e.f. the date of joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the memorandum cited above.

Smt. Alpana V. Betigeri will be on probation for a period of two years.

She should join duties within 30 days of the receipt of this order, failing which this order is liable to be cancelled without further notice.

The appointment is further subject to verification of character and antecedents.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. K. Bidkar, Director of Technical Education and Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 8th September, 1998.

Order

No. 17/2/38/97-DTE/Part file/5451

The ad hoc appointment of Smt. Sarita Roy, Lecturer in Garment Technology in Government Polytechnic, Panaji is hereby extended for a further period of six months with effect from 5-7-98 or till the post is filled up on regular basis whichever is earlier.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. K. Bidkar, Director of Technical Education and Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 11th September, 1998.

Order

No. 17/Misc/8575/EDN(TECH)/5520

Read: i) Memorandum No. 17/27/92-EDN(Part)/Col/4606 dated 24-7-98.

ii) Corrigendum No. 17/Misc/8575/EDN(TECH)/5519 dated 16-9-98.

On recommendations of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/5/34(1)/98 dated 14-5-98, Government is pleased to appoint Shri Manjunath M. on temporary basis to the post of Head of Department in Industrial Electronics in Government Polytechnic Panaji in the pay scale of Rs. 3700-125-4950-150-5700/- with effect from the date of joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum and Corrigendum cited above.

Shri Manjunath M., will be on probation for a period of two years.

His pay shall be fixed as per rules.

He should join the duties within 30 days of the receipt of this order, failing which this order is liable to be cancelled without further notice.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. K. Bidkar, Director of Technical Education and Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 16th September, 1998.

Order

No. 17/4/74/98-DTE/5532

Read: Memorandum No. 17/27/92-EDN(Part)/Col/4998 dated 14-8-98.

On recommendations of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/15(5)/95 dated 3-7-98, Government is pleased to appoint Shri Praveen Ratnakar Kamat, on temporary basis to the post of Lecturer in Electrical Engg. (Group 'A' Gazetted) in the Government Polytechnic, Panaji, on an initial pay of Rs. 2200/- in the pay scale of Rs. 2200-75-2800-100-4000/- with effect from the date of joining the post as per terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above.

Shri Praveen R. Kamat will be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment is further subject to the verification of character and antecedents.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. K. Bidkar, Director of Technical Education and Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 17th September, 1998.

Order

No. 17/4/75/98-DTE/5770

Read: Memorandum No. 17/27/92-EDN (Part)/Col/4999 dated 14-8-98.

On recommendations of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/34(1)/94 dated 9-7-98, Government is pleased to appoint Kum. Nandini L. Britto Silveira, on temporary basis to the post of Lecturer in Computer Application (Group 'A' Gazetted) in Government Polytechnic, Panaji, on an initial pay of Rs. 2200/- in the pay scale of Rs. 2200-75-2800-100-4000/- with effect from the date of joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above.

Kum. Nandini L. Britto Silveira will be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment is further subject to the verification of character and antecedent.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. K. Bidkar, Director of Technical Education and Additional Secretary.

Porvorim, 6th October, 1998.

Department of Finance**Revenue & Expenditure Division****Notification**

No. 5/18/96-Fin (R&C)

Read: Government Notification No. 5/18/96-Fin (R&C) (7) dated 27-8-1996.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Goa Sales Tax Act, 1964 (Act 4 of 1964) (hereinafter

called the "said Act"), the Government of Goa, having considered it necessary so to do in the public interest, hereby exempts the sales of goods used in the manufacture of audio equipments, telecommunication equipments and electronic goods as component parts, from the payment of tax payable under the said Act, subject to the following conditions, namely:—

- (1) The purchasing dealer should hold a Certificate of Registration under the said Act which should be in force on the date of the transaction.
- (2) The goods purchased should be 'component parts' for manufacture of audio equipments, telecommunication equipments and electronic goods.
- (3) The goods purchased should be actually used within the State of Goa and should be properly accounted in the books of the purchaser.
- (4) The selling dealer should furnish to his Appropriate Assessing Authority a declaration in the Form appended herebelow issued by the purchasing dealer.
- (5) In the event of the purchasing dealer committing breach of any of the conditions of this Notification, he shall be liable for penalty not exceeding one and half times the amount of tax which would result if the goods sold were subjected to tax at full rate leviable under the said Act at the time of its purchase.

This Notification shall remain in force for a period of 2 years with effect from the date of publication in the Government Gazette.

Original/Duplicate/Triplicate/Quadruplicate

FORM

(See Notification No.

issued under section 10A of the Goa Sales Tax Act, 1964 (Act 4 of 1964)

I _____ (name & designation or status), of M/s. _____ (name & address of purchasing dealer), on behalf of the said purchaser, do hereby declare that:—

(1) *I am/*We are registered dealer holding certificate of registration No. _____ which is in force on the date of the transaction.

(2) The goods specified in the *bill/*invoice/*cash memorandum No. _____ dated _____ of M/s. _____ holder of Registration Certificate No. _____ granted by _____ ward are properly recorded in our books of accounts.

(3) *I am/*We are fully aware that exemption of tax on the transaction as above is subject to the conditions set out in the said Notification. I/We certify that the said conditions will be complied with by me.

I/We hereby further declare that whatever is stated above is true to the best of my/our knowledge and belief.

Place:

Date:

Signature:

Status:

Name & address of the
purchasing dealer: _____

N.B.: To be issued in quadruplicate. The original and duplicate should be made over by the purchasing dealer to the selling dealer, out of which the original shall be furnished to selling dealer's Assessing Authority for claiming exemption of tax. The duplicate shall be retained by the selling dealer for his record. The triplicate shall be sent by the purchasing dealer immediately on issue of the declaration to his Assessing Officer. The quadruplicate shall be retained by the purchasing dealer for his record.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. M. Bhandare, Under Secretary (Finance-Exp.).

Panaji, 15th October, 1998.

Department of Labour

Order

No. 28/29/89-LLD

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. G. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 12th January, 1993.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri M. A. Dhavale, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/ 47/89

Shri K. B. Hattangadi — Workman/Party I
V/s
M/s Tata Engineering &
Locomotive Co. Ltd. — Employer/Party II

Workman represented by Shri R. Mangueshkar, Advocate.

Employer represented by Shri A. Nigalye, Advocate.

Panaji, dated: 17 -12-1992.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Government of Goa, by its order No. 28/29/89-ILD dated 18th July, 1989 has referred the following issue for adjudication by the this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Tata Engineering and Locomotive Co. Ltd., Bombay, having its Sales Officer at Margao, Goa in dismissing the services of Shri K. B. Hattangadi, workman, with effect from 7-6-85 is legal and justified ?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

2. On receipt of this reference, a case at No. IT/47/89 was registered and notices were served upon both the parties, in response to which they appeared and submitted their pleadings.

3. Party I-Shri K. B. Hattangadi (hereinafter called as the 'Workman') has filed this statement of claim (Exb. 2) wherein he has averred as follows;

Party II-M/s Tata Engineering and Locomotive Co. Ltd. (hereinafter called as the 'Employer-Company'), has factories at Jamshedpur and Pune for manufacturing trucks, dumpers, tippers, diesel vehicles of Tata make and also spare parts of excavators etc. The Company has as many as 24 Sales Offices through out India and one of them is at Goa. The Bombay Sales Office looks after the General Management of the Company, wherein there are about 75 to 100 employees. Party I-Workman was appointed as Typist cum Clerk by the General Sales Manager of the Company by his letter dated 30-3-1970.w.e.f. 1-4-1970. He was posted at Margao Regional Office. His initial appointment was on probation for a period of one year. By a letter dated 17-12-70, the General Sales Manager promoted the Workman to the post of Regional Sales Assistant at Margao, Goa., w.e.f. 1-12-1970. That appointment was also on probation for a period of one year. However, he was subsequently confirmed in the said post. However, he did not receive any letter of confirmation. There were in all 7 workers at Margao and the present workman had an overall charge on the Regional Sales Office. He was doing various types of duties. He also used to look after the stock and sales of spares of excavators for which he was not paid any extra remuneration. In the year '71 one of the Watchman did not obey the instructions given by this workman and hence he sought the watchman's explanation which he did not offer. Instead, that watchman made a complaint against the present workman to the Bombay Sales office, pursuant of which, he was issued a Memo wherein the workman's clarification was sought. After the workman submitted the clarification, the Company decided not to proceed in the matter as the explanation was found to be satisfactory. In the year 1976 a mistake was committed by typist cum clerk for which the present workman was issued a show cause notice in which allegations were made regarding negligence on his part. The workman explained the circumstances under which an unintentional lapse occurred. However, the Company decided to punish the workman by stopping his increments from January, 1977 onwards. No charge sheet was issued to him and no enquiry was held in respect of the alleged misconduct. However, the workman did not raise any dispute in respect of that order. Thereafter in the year 1984 the Company issued on letter to this workman alleging that he was not discharging his duties with integrity and honesty. No specific charge was levelled against him. The Area officer warned the workman on the said issue and demanded from the workman, to accept the said memo as an acceptance of apology immediately; failing which the workman was informed that he would be exposed to disciplinary action from Bombay. According to the workman, the allegations made against him were baseless and hence there was no question of tendering any apology by him. Thereafter on 7-1-85 the Regional Manager by name Mr. P. S. David issued a charge sheet against the workman. The workman was suspended pending enquiry. Thereafter, an enquiry was initiated but the workman was not

given sufficient opportunity to defend himself. In the enquiry, the workman was held guilty of the charges levelled against him. The charge proved against him was dishonesty and taking bribes by way of illegal gratifications. The findings recorded by the Enquiry Officer were accepted and eventually, the workman was dismissed. Hence, it is the say of the workman that the enquiry held against him is not legal and proper and the order of dismissal passed against him should be set aside and he should be reinstated with all other incidental reliefs.

4. Party II-Employer-Company by its written statement at Exb. 3 resisted the workman's claim contending inter alia that the demand made by the workman is wholly misconceived on facts and law and as such the same deserves to be rejected. It has been contended that Party I is not a workman as defined in the Industrial Disputes Act and hence the present reference is not maintainable. Party II- Company has controverted all the recitals made in the claim statement in para. 1 to 5 and has contended that although no letter of confirmation was issued to the workman, still he was treated as a confirmed workman/employee. It has been contended that the enquiry held against the workman was perfectly legal and proper and the charges proved against him were sufficient to take the extreme step of dismissal. Hence, it has been contended that the workman is not entitled to any relief whatsoever.

5. Thereafter, Party I-workman filed a rejoinder at Exb. 4 wherein he controverted all the contentions of Party II and reiterated his claim.

6. On these pleadings, my learned Predecessor Shri S. V. Nevagi framed as many as 12 issues at Exb. 5 and thereafter the matter was posted for hearing. However, on the adjourned dates of hearing it was submitted by the learned Advocates for both the sides, that there was every possibility of settling the dispute out of court and eventually on 16th Dec., 1992, both the parties submitted a settlement, which has been duly verified and the same is at Exb. 9. The learned Advocates for both the sides has further submitted that in view of this settlement, a consent award be passed. I have gone through the terms of settlement and I have found that they are certainly in the interest of the workman, who has actually received an amount of Rs. 20,000/- in full and final settlement of his claim. I, therefore, accede to the request made by the learned Advocates for both the sides and pass the following consent award.

ORDER

In view of the settlement at Exb. 9, the following consent award is hereby passed.

- (a) — The Company agreed to pay Mr. K. B. Hattangadi and Mr. Hattangadi agreed to accept from the Company a sum of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) as an ex-gratia payment, in full and final settlement of his claims against the Company under the said Reference case No. IT/47/89.
- (b) — The said amount of Rs. 20,000/- shall be paid by the Company to Mr. Hattangadi today through a Demand Draft drawn on Central Bank of India, Panaji Branch.
- (c) — Mr. Hattangadi does hereby agree that all his claims against the Company are hereby conclusively settled and he has no other claim or demand of whatsoever nature against the Company.

No order as to costs. Government be informed.

Sd/-
(M. A. DHAVALÉ)
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal

Order

No. 28/41/90-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. G. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 6th March, 1992.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri M. A. Dhavale, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/43/90

Shri Harischandra P. Naik Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s Shripad Kamat Tarcar Employer/Party II

Employer represented by Adv. P. J. Kamat.

Workman represented by Shri R. Mangueshkar.

Panaji, dated: 17-2-1992.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Goa by its order No. 28/41/90-LAB dated 28th September, 1990 has referred the following issue for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Shripad Kamat Tarcar, Panaji- Goa, in terminating the services of Shri Harischandra P. Naik, Mechanic, with effect from 15-6-1989 is legal and justified?

• If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case at No. IT/43/90 was registered and notices were issued to both the parties in response to which they appeared and submitted their pleadings.

3. Party I-Shri Harischandra P. Naik (hereinafter called as the "Workman") has filed his statement of claim (Exb.3) wherein it has been averred that he was employed as a Mechanic by Party II-M/s Shripad Kamat Tarcar (hereinafter called as the "Employer"), in the year 1982. However, under a letter dated 15th June, 1989, the employer issued a "show cause notice cum letter of dismissal" to the workman where certain allegations have been made which amounted to misconduct on the part of the workman.

It was alleged that the workman used to report for work under the influence of alcohol and he used to quarrel and even assault his co-workers and his superiors. Hence the workman was dismissed with immediate effect by paying him his legal dues. However, it is the grievance of the workman that no proper enquiry was held into the alleged mis-conducts and that the statutory provisions of law before retrenchment, were not followed by the employer and hence he claims reinstatement and other incidental reliefs.

4. Party II-Employer by his written Statement at Exb.7 resisted the workman's claim contending in substance that the workman was guilty of a very grave misconduct on his part in so far as he used to report for duty while he was under the influence of drinks and besides, he used to quarrel and assault his colleagues and superior officers. Hence, it has been contended that the order of dismissal is well justified.

5. Thereafter Party I, filed a rejoinder (Exb. 8) wherein he controverted the employer's contention and reiterated his say made in the statement of claim.

6. On these pleadings, I framed the necessary issues at Exb. 9 and the case was posted for hearing.

7. However, on this day, it has been submitted by the learned representatives of both the sides that the parties have arrived at a settlement and accordingly they submitted the terms of settlement which has been duly verified and marked at Exb. 10. On behalf of both the parties, it has been submitted that in view of the terms of settlement incorporated in Exb. 10, a consent award be passed in this case. On going through the terms of settlement, I find that they are certainly in the interest of the workman and hence I accept the submission made by the learned representatives of both the sides and pass the following consent award.

ORDER

1. It is agreed between the parties that the Party II- Employer shall pay a sum of Rs. 16,190/- (Rupees Sixteen thousand One hundred & Ninety only) towards Gratuity, Compensation, Earned Wages etc., to the workman Party I, in full and final settlement of all his legal dues.
2. It is agreed between the parties that in view of the clause (1) above, the Party I workman does not press for reinstatement with full back wages and agrees that his dispute is conclusively settled.
3. It is agreed between the parties that in view of the above terms, the workman have no claim of whatsoever nature against the employer-Party II.
4. It is agreed between the parties that the dues under clause (1) above shall be paid within 8 days of the Award.
5. No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(M. A. DHAVALÉ)
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal

Department of Public Health Goa Medical College

Corrigendum

No. 5/64/83-PHD/2116

Read: Order No. 5/64/83-PHD dated 26-2-98.

The following words shall be substituted after the words voluntary retirement in the above cited order and read as,

"under rule F.R. 56(K)(1) of F.R.S.R. (Part. I) and relieve him with effect from 1-3-1998 (B.N.)".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. W. K. Belokar, Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary.

Bambolim, 4th June, 1998.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 22/58/97-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/58/97-RD dated 30-9-97 published on pages 456 to 458 of Series II, No. 29 of the Official Gazette dated 16-10-97 and in two newspapers (1) Herald dated 23-10-97 and (2) Gomantak dated 27-10-97 it was notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land), was likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. for construction of LMBC of T.I.P. from Ch. 30.225 Kms to 31.200 Kms in Mapusa village of Bardez Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as the "Government") being of the opinion that the acquisition of the said land is urgently necessary, hereby applies the provisions of sub-section (1) and sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act and directs that the Collector appointed under paragraph 2 below, shall, at any time, on the expiry of fifteen days from the date of the publication of the notice relating to the said land under sub-section (1) of section 9 of the said Act, take possession of the said land.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of section 6 of the said Act, that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also hereby appoints under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (North), I.D., Morod, Mapusa to perform the functions of the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land and directs him under section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (North), I.D., Morod, Mapusa till the award is made under section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)				1	2	3	4
Taluka: Bardez City: Mapusa							
P.T.S. No./ /S. No.	Part No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.				
1	2	3	4				
27/2	1-part	O: Comunidade. T: Xec Abdul Shaik Hussain.	160	3 9	part	O: Comunidade. T: Avina Concesao Almeida. Babal Rama Mandrekar.	25
	2 2	O: Comunidade. T: Saraswati Gawas.	195	3 10	"	O: — do — T: Paulina Almeida.	10
	2 3	O: Comunidade. T: Ramchandra Mukund Kanolkar.	165	4 4	"	O: — do — T: Pedro Fernandes.	15
	2 4	O: Comunidade. T: Vasu Salgaonkar.	175	4 5	"	O: — do — T: Rosa Maria Britto.	25
	2 5	O: Comunidade. T: Kashinath Keshav Kanolkar.	300	4 6	"	O: — do — T: Emilia Fernandes.	35
	2 6	O: Comunidade. T: Kashinath Keshav Harmalkar.	170	4 7	"	O: — do — T: Luis Maria D'Souza.	35
	2 7	O: Comunidade. T: Bala Salgaonkar.	182	4 8	"	O: — do — T: Lina D'Souza.	50
	2 8	O: Comunidade. T: Ramnath Laxman Mandrekar.	110	4 9	"	O: — do — T: Flaviano Concesao Almeida. Sub T: Babal Rama Mandrekar.	70
	2 9	O: Comunidade. T: Roque Agustina Carrasco.	70	4 10	"	O: — do — T: Paulina Carrasco.	60
	2 10	O: — do — T: Vasant Mukund Mayekar.	95	4 11	"	O: — do — T: Govind Ramchandra Harmalkar.	80
	3 1	O: — do — T: Albert R. M. D'Souza. Chandrakant Mahadev Mandrekar.	90	4 12	"	O: — do — T: Horencia Carrasco.	105
	3 2	O: Comunidade. T: Govind Ramchandra Harmalkar.	75	4 13	"	O: — do — T: Rosy Fernandes.	75
	3 3	O: — do — T: Viola Menezes.	85	4 14	"	O: — do — T: Krishna Vithu Dhargaikar.	90
	3 4	O: — do — T: (Jose) Francisco D'Souza.	68	4 15	"	O: — do — T:	100
	3 5	O: — do — T: Rosy Carrasco.	85	6 5	"	O: Aluusions (Bernard) Braganza. T: Rosa Maria Britto.	85
	3 6	O: — do — T: Sabina Carrasco.	85	6 2	"	O: Comunidade. T: Rosa Mario Britto. Mary D'Souza. Marcos Rego. Mrs. Amard Rego.	100
	3 7	O: Comunidade. T: Guilhermina J. Ferrao.	90	6 3	"	O: Ana Monika Rego.	75
	3 8	O: — do — T: Lina Francisco D'Sa.	75	6 3-A	"	O: — do — T: Tulsi Narvekar.	75
	3 9	O: — do — T: Govind Ramchandra Harmalkar.	95	6 4	"	O: Government of Goa. T: Blin Silveira.	95
	3 10	O: — do — T: Bhaskar Krishna Asgaonkar.	165	6 6	"	O: Alloysions Braganza. T: Rosa Maria Britto.	10
	3 11	O: — do — T: Margarita Fernandes.	165	6 7	"	O: Callista Matilda. Possession: Reginalda Joseph E. Braganza. Piedade Mossaic Braganza. John Augustinho Braganza.	100
	3 1	O: Comunidade T: Jose Fernandes.	80	6 8	"	O: Government of Goa. T: Simiao Fernandes.	100
	3 2	O: — do — T: Xerpin D'Souza.	75	7 1	"	O: Bernard Braganza. T: Anandi Anant Kudalkar.	785
	3 3	O: — do — T: Pedro Fernandes.	70	7 5	"	O: Minguel Jerinimo D'Souza. Possession: Rama Pandurang Naik.	375
	3 4	O: — do — T: Rosa Maria Britto.	60	7 11	"	O: Comunidade. T: Joao Baptista D'Souza. Veronica Carrasco.	410
	3 5	O: — do — T: Emilia Fernandes.	40	7 12	"	O: Comunidade. T: Harichandra Rama Vaingankar.	190
	3 6	O: — do — T: Luis Maria D'Souza.	40	51/9 1	"	O: Thomas Mascarenhas. Possession: Smt. Isabella Mascarenhas.	550
	3 7	O: — do — T: Lina D'Souza.	25	9 11	"	O: John Bento Braganza.	315
				9 12	"	O: (C. D'Souza) Thomas Mascarenhas. Possession: Isabela Mascarenhas.	5
				9 14	"	O: Marcian Dias.	65

GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS,
PANAJI - GOA.
PRICE — Rs. 4.00